NAVFAC SPECIFICATION

7173753 B152 & B4495 Paint Three (3) Fuel Tanks

MCAS Cherry Point, NC AMENDMENT #0003

IMPORTANT

This amendment should be acknowledged when your proposal is submitted. Failure to acknowledge the amendment may constitute grounds for rejection of the proposal.

If your proposal has been submitted prior to the receipt of this amendment, acknowledgement should be made by telegram, which should state whether the price contained in your proposal is to remain unchanged, is to be decreased by an amount, or is to be increased by an amount. The acknowledgement must be received prior to proposal opening time.

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION	DN/MODIFICA	TION OF CON	ITRACT		1. CONTRACT	ID CODE	PAGE 1	OF PAGES
. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 3. EFFECTIVE DATE		DATE 4.	REQUISITION/P	URCHA	SE REQ. NO.	5. PROJEC	T NO. (If a	_
0003	05/04/2020		7173753				- (-	11
6. ISSUED BY	Code N40085 7. ADMINISTERE				other than item 6.)) Co	de	
CG MCAS Cherry Point FACILITIES, ROICC B-163, CURTIS ROAD PSC BOX 8006 CHERRY POINT, NC 28533								
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP				\square	 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION B152 & B4495 Paint Three (3) Fuel Tanks 			
				-	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)			
AMENDMENT MUST BE ACK	OPOSAL		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.					
					10B. DATED (SI	EE ITEM 13)		
CODE				05.001				
The above numbered solicitation is amer		NLY APPLIES TO					ot oxtondo	ed. Offers must
acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to and returning <u>1</u> copy of the amendment; (b) If a reference to the solicitation and amendment OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE submitted, such change may be made by telego opening hour and date specified.	o the hour and date By acknowledging rea numbers. FAILURE SPECIFIED MAY RE	specified in the solici ceipt of this amendm OF YOUR ACKNOV SULT IN REJECTIC	tation or as amende ent on each copy of VLEDGMENT TO B N OF YOUR OFFE	d, by one the offer E RECEI R. If by v	e of the following me submitted; or (c) By VED AT THE PLAC <i>i</i> rtue of this amendr	ethods: (a) By o v separate lette E DESIGNATE ment you desire	completing i er or telegra ED FOR TH e to change	items 8 and 15, im which includes IE RECEIPT OF e an offer already
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATI	ON DATA (if requi	ired)						
		IES ONLY TO MO				3,		
		E CONTRACT/OR						
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSI CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM	10A.		• •					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATION CHANGES (such as changes in paying								
office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103 (b). C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:								
D. OTHER: (specify type of modified	ation and authorit	y)						
				:	h			
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not is required to sign this document and return original to the issuing office. 14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)								
			section neutaings,	moraam	ig solicitation/con		matter wit	
7173753 B152 & B4495 Paint	Three (3) Fue	l Tanks, Marin	e Corps Air St	ation (Cherry Point, I	٩C		
This amendment is being issu	ed to respond	to pre-award F	RFIs and to ex	tend p	roposal due d	ate.		
The deadline to submit pre-av	ard RFIs of 25	5 April 2022 ha	s passed. No	furthe	r RFIs will be	accepted.		
The proposal due date of 5 Ma	ay 2022 is here	eby extended t	o 18 May 202	2 at 12	200 local times	3.		
See Attached.								
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AN	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)				
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Same	as Item 8) 150	C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED S BY	STATES	S OF AMERICA		16C. D/	ATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to	sign)		(Sig	nature c	of Contracting Off	icer)		
NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE	30-1	105	STANDARD FOR Prescribed by GS		EV.1-83)		<u> </u>	0224-3(10-90)

RFI Responses:

11. Per specification section 01 35 26 paragraph 1.7.1.1.1, please confirm that the role of SSHO, QC Manager and Superintendent may be fulfilled by one (1) individual.

Response: Yes all the roles can be completed by one person Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

12. Please clarify if the coating contractor must be certified through the Society for Protective Coatings (SSPC).

Response: No follow SSPC guidelines but certification is not required. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

13. Please clarify if applied coatings must be inspected by a Protective Coating Specialist certified by the Society for Protective Coatings (SSPC).

Response: No follow SSPC guidelines but certification is not required. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

14. Please confirm that it is not the responsibility of the contractor to recertify these tanks upon completion of the coatings.

Response: It is not the responsibility of the contractor. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

15. Amendment 002 SOW revision specifies water & vapor sandblasting as the only acceptable method. It is typical that a cleanliness standard be applied rather than a method, for example "prepare steel in accordance with SSPC SP-10". Will the Government consider this change and remove the reference to water & vapor sandblasting?

Response: No it will not be removed because it will be the only accepted method allowed to blast the tanks.

Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

16. 1.3.1, paragraph "B" refers the contractor to tank dimensions and then further states that 8,800 square feet be preserved. Does these 8,800 square feet refer to the piping only?

Response: No 8800 square feet refers to approximate sq. ft. of tanks and piping. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

17. 1.3.1, paragraph "B" refers the contractor to apply PSX 700 or equal to 8,800 square feet. Does this apply to the piping, or is this an attempt to clarify the topcoat for the tanks as well and 8,800 square feet includes tank and piping?

Response: It is clarify the approximate amount of top coat for tanks and piping. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments. 18. 1.3.2, paragraph "A" does not refer the reader to tank dimensions. Please clarify diameter and overall width.

Response: Refer to the approximate square feet in the paragraph described. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

19. 1.3.2, paragraph "A" refers the reader to 1,750 square feet. Is this intended to clarify the amount of piping in this location?

Response: No its the approximate sq. ft. of the tank and piping. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

20. 1.3.2, paragraph "B", refers the contractor to apply PSX 700 or equal to 1,750 square feet. Does this refer to piping in this location, or is this an attempt to clarify the topcoat of the tank as well and 1,750 square feet includes tank and piping?

Response: It is to clarify the approximate amount of top coat for tanks and piping. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

21. Will all three tanks be available simultaneously?

Response: Yes. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

- 22. Could you please provide mechanical drawings if they are available?
 - Response: Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.
- 23. I do not see the lab report for the paint chip analysis to determine if the existing coatings are hazardous (e.g., lead, hexavalent chromium, etc.). Could you please provide? This is not found in the scope of work.

Response: Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

24. How many mils of existing coatings are on the tanks now?

Response: Unknown Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

25. Have these tanks been previously re-coated?

Response: Yes

Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

26. Can the two B152 tanks be accessed by JLG lifts outside the secondary containments?

Response: Appear's to be enough room for JLG to reach, but the contractor needs to confirm that themselves before renting the equipment. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

- 27. What water sources are available (fire hydrant, spigots, etc.). Fire hydrant is ideal to accommodate the volume needed for 3-4 blasters.
 - a. Location...distance from each tank
 - *b.* Will hoses be allowed to simply lay of the ground? Or need to crossroads or pedestrian traffic? If so, what's the procedure?

Response: There are fire hydrants on site the contractor needs to get prior approval from the fire dept. to use them, and two spigots, within 200ft of the tanks. Hoses can be laid directly on the ground but may need to be protected from vehicle traffic from the spigots, and hoses connecting to the fire hydrant should be away from any foot or vehicle traffic. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

28. What are the dimensions of Tank B4495?

Response: Approximately 12'x30' Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

29. Scope of pipe painting for all three tanks – Size & LF? (Especially tank B4495...is there piping in the overhead? Are there any photos available?)

Response: The approximate sq. ft. of tank piping is covered in the scope of work. The piping at the B4495 above the tank is not to be painted only the tank and piping coming directly out of the tank are to be blasted and painted.

Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

- 30. Are alternative coating system (zinc/epoxy/urethane) acceptable? The specified coasting system is not the best design (3-coats of epoxy & 1-coat of siloxane):
 - a. No galvanic action/catholic protection with the 1st coat (primer). Should use a zinc-rich epoxy.
 - b. 3-coats of a simple epoxy (barrier coat). Should be a stripe coat on all welds/ edges/corners with the epoxy, and one full intermediate coat with the epoxy
 - c. Siloxane finish coat is overkill for a tank farm.... very expensive paint and not user-friendly. In addition, can only be applied up to 80% relative humidity (during initial cure as well). This will be somewhat difficult in NC during Summer months. Alternative finish coat can be applied up to 85% RH?

Response: No alternative coating system is acceptable. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments. 31. Working days/hours: Will need 7-days/12 hours availability to meet recoat windows and to make up for delays (e.g., weather, etc.). Not suggesting working all those days or hours, but as needed only.

Response: That is not a question for RFI Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

32. Since the tanks will remain in service they may sweat and depending on the season quite drastically and almost every day. Will the contractor be compensated for these delays?

Response: That is not a question for RFI Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

- 33. The water cannot be collected and will be treated as rainwater and allowed to enter storm drains. However, the paint chips will be collected by placing windscreen on the ground to allow the water to pass through but collect the paint chips.
 - *a.* Are there drains inside the secondary containment or does the water need to be pumped out daily? If so, where to??

Response: There are drains inside of the secondary containment. Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

34. Are vinyl markings acceptable in lieu of painted on stencil? The vinyl will be of ASTM-grade and previously approved on many other Gov't Fuels projects.

Response: Yes vinyl markings are acceptable.

Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

- 35. Is anti-slip to be applied in the walking areas of the tank roofs?
 - *a.* If so, will anti-slip pads be acceptable? The pads will be of ASTM-grade and previously approved on many other Gov't Fuels projects.

Response: No Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.

36. Do the tanks need to be cleaned and / or pressure washed, and where specifically are these areas?

Response: No Submit Proposals in accordance with RFP, Specifications, Drawings, and all Amendments.